

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,407	02/27/2002	Philippe Lesage	L7307.02110	7381	
7590 04/09/2004 STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I		
1615 L. Street, N.W., Suite 850 Washington, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
··· ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			2644	: 12	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	, -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
067 4-47 0-4	10/083,407	LESAGE, PHILIPPE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The SAAU INC DATE of this accommissation of	Justin Michalski	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/2	<u>20/2004</u> .					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the output of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □ 	Commence (DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 6 have been canceled by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8, 2-4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (UK Patent Application GB 2,031,2147 A) in view of Ueda et al. (US Patent 5,751,828).

Regarding Claim 8, Yamamoto discloses a magnetic circuit (Figure 1) for an electrodynamic loudspeaker with a moving coil (voice coil 5), said magnetic circuit having a shape which is axisymmetric about an axis of symmetry and comprising: a dish-shaped yoke with a flat bottom (yoke 1), whose edge, away from said bottom, is provided projecting toward said axis with respect to the side wall of said dish and defining a circular opening which is recessed with respect to said wall (Figure 1 discloses opening near reference 5); a magnet (magnet 2), placed centrally inside said yoke and borne by said flat bottom; and a cylindrical core (core 3), placed centrally inside said yoke and borne by said magnet, the part of said core away from the magnet being opposite said circular opening of the yoke and defining, with the later, an annular gap (Yamamoto discloses a gap Page 1, lines 48-55), in which said moving coil (voice

Application/Control Number: 10/083,407

Art Unit: 2644

coil 5) is placed coaxially with the axis of said magnetic circuit thereby being the clearance height available for the coil inside said yoke being greater than the maximum distance that said coil can travel, inside said voke, toward the bottom thereof, wherein: the diameter of said magnet is greater than that of said core (Figure 1 discloses length of magnet 2 greater than base of core 3), such that said magnet has a peripheral projection which is annular and radial, with respect to said core; and the clearance height for the coil is limited, on the side facing said magnet, by said peripheral projection, such that this clearance height is determined solely by said core (Figure 12 shows base of core 3 greater than gap limiting clearance height). Yamamoto does not disclose the magnet being disk-shaped. However, one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would know that disk-shaped magnets are commonly used in magnetic circuits. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a magnetic in the form of a disk. Yamamoto further discloses a magnetic circuit but does not disclose it being made of a sintered neodymium-iron-boron (Nd-Fe-B) ternary alloy. Ueda et al. discloses a magnetic circuit teaching in order to attain a loudspeaker which is small, light-weight. and thin, rare earth metals are used as a magnet in a loud-speaker such as an anisotropic Nd-Fe-B system sintered magnet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a magnetic made of Nd-Fe-B to obtain a small, light-weight and thin speaker for a high quality audio output.

Art Unit: 2644

(Kojima et al. (US patent 6,004,407) is being cited to show sintered neodymium-iron-boron (Nd-Fe-B) magnets are known to have excellent magnetic performance which can be used for a speaker and have performance superior to that of ferrite magnets. It is also shown that Nd-Fe-B is used for attaining a more excellent performance.)

(Column 1, lines 5-17)

Regarding Claim 2, Yamamoto further discloses the peripheral radial projection of the magnet with respect to the core (Figure 1) being less than three time the thickness of the magnet which conforms to being at the most equal to three times the thickness of said magnet.

Regarding Claim 3, Yamamoto further discloses (Figure 1) the peripheral radial projection of the magnet with respect to the core is about the thickness of said magnet.

Regarding Claim 4, Yamamoto further discloses (Figure 1) said core (core 3) comprises, in contact with said magnet (magnet 2), a disk-shaped projecting heel (bottom portion of core 3b), the diameter of which is greater than that of the rest of the core, but smaller than that of the magnet; and the clearance height for the coil is limited, on the side facing said magnet, by said projecting heel.

Regarding Claim 7, Yamamoto further discloses an electrodynamic loudspeaker (Figure 1) which comprises a magnetic circuit.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto as modified as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Ssutu (US

Patent 6,535,613). Yamamoto discloses a magnetic circuit as stated apropos of claim 8 but does not disclose an axial passage. Ssutu discloses an axial passage (Figure 1) passing through the back plate 24 (i.e. flat bottom of yoke), magnet (magnet 26), and pole piece 30 (i.e. core). Ssutu discloses airflow through the speaker is helpful for cooling the device (Column 1, lines 6-13) avoiding a reduction in acoustic output due to temperature-related voice coil resistance (Column 1, lines 49-56). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an axial passage to allow airflow resulting in a higher quality audio signal.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that new claim 8 is not disclosed or suggested by the individual or combined teachings of Yamamoto and Ueda for the reasons that Yamamoto does not teach to consider a magnet other than a ferrite magnet and Ueda teaches away by stating the expensiveness of the magnet material.

Ueda teaches the advantages of using Nd-Fe-B sintered magnetic over other materials by enabling a speaker to be light-weight, small, and thin (Column 1, lines 20-26). Although Ueda discloses that Nd-Fe-B sintered magnets are expensive, Ueda teaches the advantages above and that Nd-Fe-B is widely used in view of performance and **cost** (Column 1, lines 24-26). Therefore, although the use of Nd-Fe-B increases the cost of the speaker, Ueda teaches that it is widely used nevertheless.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,407 Page 6

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (703)305-5598. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 Hours, 5 day/week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on (703)305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,407

Art Unit: 2644

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JIM

PRIMARY EXAMINER